ORIGINAL

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE

Illinois Commerce Commission

DOCKET NO.

00-0417

IN THE MATTER OF:

PF.NET, LLC
PF.NET NETWORK SERVICES CORP.

PLACE:

Chicago, Illinois

DATE:

July 12, 2000

PAGES:

1-16

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY
OFFICIAL REPORTERS
TWO NORTH LA SALLE STREET
SUITE 1780
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602
312-782-4705

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 217-528-6964

1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF:)
4	PF NET, LLC) PF NET NETWORK SERVICES CORP.) No. 00-0417
5	Petition for Cancellation and) Reissuance of Certificates of)
6	Service Authority to Provide)
7	Interexchange Services within) the State of Illinois.)
8	Chicago, Illinois July 12, 2000
9	Met, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m.
10	BEFORE:
11	MS. DEBORAH KING, Administrative Law Judge
12	ing. Daboum mane, namanabolasa an caage
13	APPEARANCES:
14	SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, by MR. CHRISTOPHER DAY and
15	MS. KATHERINE ROLPH (via telephone) 3000 K Street
16	Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20007
17	appearing for the applicant.
18	
19	
2 0	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22	Rocio Garcia, CSR License No. 084-004387

	I N D I	<u>E X</u>		
Witnesses:	Direct Cross			
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
MR. PHILIP				0
	4			8
	E X H I B	I T S		
Number	For Identif:	ication	<u>.</u>	<u>In Evidence</u>
Applicant's	1			7
	·			
	MR. PHILIP	Witnesses: Direct Cross MR. PHILIP D. WRIGHT 4 EXHIB	Witnesses: Direct Cross direct MR. PHILIP D. WRIGHT 4 EXHIBITS Number For Identification	Witnesses: Direct Cross direct cross MR. PHILIP D. WRIGHT 4 EXHIBITS Number For Identification

JUDGE KING: Pursuant to the authority of the
Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
No. 00-0417. This is the petition of PF Net LLC,
and PFF Net I'm sorry not PFF. PF Net Network
Services Corp. for a cancellation and reissuance of
certificates of service authority to provide
interexchange services within the state of Illinois.
May I have the appearances for the
record.
MR. DAY: Yes, my name is Christopher Day from
the law firm of Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman,
counsel for the petitioner.
MS. ROLPH: Katherine Rolph also with Swidler
Berlin Shereff Friedman, we are located at 3000 K
Street, Northwest, Suite 300, Washington, D.C.
20007.
JUDGE KING: All right. We are here today to
conduct the evidentiary hearing in this matter and I
believe we have just the one witness Mr. Philip D.
Wright.
Could you raise your right hand.
MR WRIGHT. It is Wright.

1	(Witness sworn.)
2	JUDGE KING: All right. Counsel you may
3	proceed.
4	MR. DAY: Thank you, Hearing Examiner King.
5	PHILIP D. WRIGHT,
6	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
7	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	ву
10	MR. DAY:
11	Q. Mr. Wright, please state your name and
12	business address for the record.
13	A. My name is Philip D. Wright. My business
14	address is PF Net Networks Services Corp, 4133
15	Jasmine Court, Wichita, Kansas 67226.
16	Q. Mr. Wright, what is your position with PF
17	Net Network Services Corp?
18	A. I am vice-president, legal of PF Net.
19	Q. Mr. Wright, could you please briefly
20	describe your background qualifications and previous
21	professional experience?

A.

Yes, I graduated from law school in 1972 and

was admitted to the bar in the fall of that year.

11.

2 O

In November of '72, I joined the United

States Army and served in the Army from November '72

till July '76. During part of that time, I served

as a judge advocate general officer which during -
or as a -- in that position, I did provide legal

services to members of the military and their

defendants.

That was not mentioned that was inadvertently deleted in my prefiled testimony but that is when my legal career started and I do want to make that clear.

I joined Coke industries in the summer of 1976 after I left the army. I joined the legal staff there and served as a -- primarily as a general commercial attorney with emphasis on pipeline acquisitions. And in 1980, I became the manager of the right-of-way department and in that position, I oversaw all right-of-way acquisition. I had responsibility for all the records and I provided all the legal services to the group.

We had in the neighborhood of about

50,000 miles of pipeline. Well, in fact, it grew from about 15,000 to 50,000 during the time I was a right-of-way department manager.

In, I guess, January of 1998, I excepted a transfer to the -- back to the legal department of Coke industries and served as a general attorney for Coke with emphasis on right-of-way related matters.

And then in -- let's see, March or April of this year, I joined PF Net as vice-president of legal.

And I am a graduate of Knox College in Galesburg, Illinois and I have a bach- -- yeah, a bachelor of arts degree from Knox and a Juris Doctor degree from Washington University.

- Q. Mr. Wright, do you have with you today a copy of the document entitled, Testimony of Philip D. Wright which is filed in support of the petition that is the subject of this proceeding?
 - A. I do.

- Q. Mr. Wright, was that document prepared by you or under your direction?
 - A. It was.
 - Q. Mr. Wright, if I were to ask you the

1	questions that are contained in that document today,
2	would your answers be the same or if not, do you
3	have any corrections to make other than those
4	corrections which we previously discussed with
5	regard to your previous professional experience?
6	A. Yeah, I have no other corrections to be made
7	and my testimony would be substantially the same.
8	MR. DAY: Hearing Examiner King, I have no
9	further questions for the witness.
LO	I believe we stipulated before that the
Ll	prefiled testimony would be marked as Exhibit 1 and
L 2	I would just ask, if not already admitted, that PF
13	Net LLC and PF Net Service Corp. which was filed
L 4	with the Commission on June 14 also be admitted to
15	the record if it has not already been done so.
16	JUDGE KING: All right. I would indicate for the
17	record that Applicant's Exhibit 1 the prefiled
18	testimony of Mr. Wright is hereby admitted into the
19	record.
2 0	(Whereupon, Applicant's
21	Exhibit No. 1 was
22	admitted into evidence.)

1 MR. DAY: Thanks, Hearing Examiner King. 2 JUDGE KING: Okay. And you're tendering the 3 witness for cross? MR. DAY: Yes. 4 5 JUDGE KING: Okay. Now, I just have a few 6 questions. 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY9 JUDGE KING: I quess, as a preliminary question, I need 10 Ο. to ask whether or not -- well, let me ask this: 11 see from the application that you are in the process 12 13 of building a facility here in Illinois? The construction is not underway in Illinois Α. 14 at the present time. We have not started 15 16 construction. Okay. And do you foresee that this 17 18 construction will start within this year? 19 Α. It's not anticipated that we will start construction in this calendar year. 20 Okay. Well, then I see from your 21 Q. application that you've indicated that you would be 22

	seeking not only interexchange authority but local
2	exchange authority.
3	I think that perhaps you would want to
4	amend your application just to provide for resold
5	interexchange services at this time?
6	It doesn't appear that you are ready at
7	this point to be able to provide the proper
8	documentation to show that you can you would be
9	able to provide proper local exchange services.
10	A. We certainly would be willing to reconsider
11	that.
12	I would like to consult with Mr. Day and
13	Ms. Rolph.
14	Q. Okay.
15	A. We would certainly
16	JUDGE KING: I mean, we can go off the record for
17	a moment.
18	THE WITNESS: Sure.
19	(Whereupon, a discussion
20	was had off the record.)
21	JUDGE KING: We've had a brief discussion off the
22	record concerning the request for certification and

counsel for the applicant has indicated that it is 1 2 only seeking that authority which previously has 3 been issued to PF Net LLC under Docket 99-0348, is that not correct? 5 MR. DAY: Yes, that's correct. JUDGE KING: Okay. So let the record reflect 6 7 that the application of which has been filed with the Commerce Commission should be amended to, in 8 fact, withdraw that authority requested which has 9 10 not previously been granted to PF Net LLC. With that being said, I have a few 11 Okay. 1 2l other questions for you Mr. Wright BY JUDGE KING: 13 I would ask you, does the parent company PF 14 ٥. Net Holdings Limited provide telecommunications 15 services or is it strictly a holding company? 16 It is a holding company. 17 Α. Okay. And would PF Net Network Services Q. 18 Corp. then have any affiliation with any of the 19 other companies which provide telecommunication 20

A. It would not.

services?

21

- Q. All right. And your corporate offices are in the state of Washington?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And is that where you intend to maintain your books and records?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, would there be any complaints pending currently against PF Net Network Services Corporation in any other states where it is providing telecommunications services?
 - A. I'm not aware of any complaints.
- Q. Okay. And you have indicated in your application that you would comply with Illinois law and with FCC regulations regarding unauthorized slamming or switching of customers; and I would just ask you, do you have any specific procedures in place at this time that would guard against such activity occurring?
- A. We have no specific process or procedures in place at the present time.
- Q. And would your company be willing to either allow for third party verification of any customer

orders or would it be willing to provide letters of authorization to verify that customers, in fact, have voluntarily decided to change their service to your company?

A. We would be willing to provide such proof as may be reasonably required. We would, of course, prefer to provide the evidence without the need for a third party but if we could -- if that would be required and we could get the proper confidentiality procedures in place, we'd certainly be willing to do that.

MR. DAY: Hearing Examiner King, if I may interject.

JUDGE KING: Mm-hmm.

MR. DAY: PF Net is not currently providing service in the state of Illinois. But, you know, to the extent that when they are ready to do that, they will comply and I am sure Phil will agree with any of ICC's rules and regulations or FCC rules and regulations in effect.

21 BY JUDGE KING:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1 5l

1 6l

17

18

19

2 O

22

O. Okay. I would ask then, how does the

company intend to solicit customers here in Illinois?

1 Ol

1 6l

2 O

- A. Well, I expect that we will place personal visits to potential customers, telephone solicitation primarily. We don't anticipate solicitation to the public in general. We will probably focus ourselves efforts on large customers and so I imagine we will deal with most of them personally.
- Q. Okay. All right. Now, you have indicated in your application that the parent company would be financing PF Net Network Services Corporation's business here in Illinois?
- A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear your entire question.
- Q. I believe that you had indicated in your application that the parent company would be financing the PF Net Network Services business here in Illinois?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And it's your testimony here today that PF
 Net Network Services Corporation would have

T	sufficient finances to be able to conduct its
2	business here in Illinois?
3	A. That is correct.
4	Q. And your company would provide Illinois
5	customers with scratch that.
6	Will your company provide Illinois
7	customers with the opportunity to inquire about
8	billing and service problems on a 24-hour basis?
9	A. Yeah, we yes, ma'am.
10	Q. Okay. And will you have personnel available
11	to assist any customers with any type of repair
12	complaints?
13	A. Yes, ma'am.
14	JUDGE KING: I have no further questions.
1 5	Is there anything further that you would
16	like to add to these proceedings?
17	MR. DAY: No, Hearing Examiner King, I don't
18	think we have any redirect.
19	JUDGE KING: All right. Let me just take one
2 0	more minute.
2 1	Can we go off the record for a moment.

1 (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) 2 JUDGE KING: 3 I have no further questions. Was there anything further that you 4 wanted to add? 5 THE WITNESS: Are you directing the question to 6 me our my counsel? 8 JUDGE KING: Well, to counsel. If there is any redirect or --9 MR. DAY: We have no further redirect. 10 Mr. Wright, if you'd like to make a 11 closing statement. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. Just briefly. We would like to state that the grant of 14 the instant petition will be in the public interest 15 16 because it will bring more services to the public, enhance competition in the telecommunications 17 18 industry and will allow the applicants to manage 19 their telecommunication operations more efficiently thereby enhancing their operation and flexibility 2 O and efficiency as well as their financial liability. 21

Hearing Examiner King, on behalf of PF

1	Net LLC and PF Net Network Services Corp., we thank
2	you for your time and attention in this matter. And
3	we look forward to receiving the Commission's order.
4	JUDGE KING: All right. I thank you and I
5	believe that everything appears to be in order, and
6	I will be recommending that the petition be granted.
7	I will then bring this matter to a close
8	here today. I'll ask that the record be marked
9	heard and taken. And I thank you everyone for their
10	participation and I'll get this on the next possible
11	agenda.
12	HEARD AND TAKEN
13	
14	
15	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

CASE NUMBER ,

TITLE:

COUNTY OF COOK) SS:

I,, do hereby
certify that I am a court reporter contracted by
SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, of Chicago, Illinois; that
I reported in shorthand the evidence taken and the
proceedings had on the hearing on the above-entitled
case on the 12th day of July A.D.
2000; that the foregoing 16 pages are a true
and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken
as aforesaid, and contains all of the proceedings
direct by the Commission or other person authorized
by it to conduct the said hearing to be
stenographically reported.
Dated at Chicago, Illinois, thislstday ofAugustA.D
Jane 1

Reporter.